

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,032		09/09/2003	Robert B. Male	859/US/2 2069		
20686	7590	04/20/2005		EXAMINER		
DORSEY		NEY, LLP OPERTY DEPARTN	BARNEY, SETH E			
370 SEVE			ATM I	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 470	0			3752		
DENVER,	CO 8020	2-5647	DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/658,032	MALE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Seth Barney	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address eriod for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	anuary 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 19 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9-18,20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Identified or b) objected to by the Identified or by the Ident	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/11/05. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/658,032 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The IDS appears to have a typographical error in that the listing of U.S. Patent No. 5,667,146 to Pimentel et al. is listed as "5,667,148". The correct number has been included on the attached PTO-892.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 20 contains the trademark/trade name Teflon. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe poly (tetra fluoro ethylene) and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see pages 8 and 9 of Remarks, filed January 11, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 11-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully

Application/Control Number: 10/658,032 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of

U.S. Patent No 5,865,378 to Hollinshead, U.S. Patent No. 6,629,651 to Male et al., and

U.S. Patent No. 5,449,206 to Lockwood.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,865,378 to Hollinshead et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,449,206 to Lockwood. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Claim 1, of the instant application recites at least one internal stop located within the axially extending bore and at least one internal stop abutment element located within the axially extending bore, which structure is not recited in claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,865,378 to Hollinshead. Lockwood, as seen in Figure 3 presents a ball and

Application/Control Number: 10/658,032 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

socket joint system with beads having both internal stops and inter stop abutments. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the beads of '378 with the beads of Lockwood in order to allow for easy snap fit and pivoting of the arm.

6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,629,651 to Male et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,449,206 to Lockwood. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Claim 1 of the instant application recites at least one internal stop located within the axially extending bore and at least one internal stop abutment element located within the axially extending bore, which structure is not recited in claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,629,651 to Male et al. Lockwood, as seen in Figure 3 presents a flexible member having a ball and socket joint system with beads having both internal stops and inter stop abutments. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the flexible member of '378 with the flexible member of Lockwood in order to allow for easy flexing and pivoting of the arm.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 19 is allowed.
- 8. Claims 9-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seth Barney whose telephone number is (571)272-4896. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm (Mon-Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571)272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seth Barney Examiner Art Unit 3752

sb

David A. Scherbel
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700